NATIONAL ALLIANCE BULLETIN

POST OFFICE BOX 90 • HILLSBORO, WV 24946 • USA

ACTIVISM: It's not that difficult

Member Peter Brauneis (left) and a supporter advertise our main Web address while cleaning up the Alliance's adopted stretch of road in the state of Washington.

CHAIRMAN GLIEBE SPEAKS ON WTAM RADIO

• MICHAEL WEAVER AT THE INTERSECTION

• BEEN ACTIVE? WE'D LIKE TO HEAR ABOUT IT!

• JIM RING SPEAKS ON UNIVERSITY RADIO

• DO ELECTIONS RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT CHANGE?

• SETTING PERSONAL GOALS

September 201(

Chairman Gliebe Speaks on WTAM Radio

Chairman Gliebe recently appeared as a call-in guest on the Mike Trivisonno Show, which is broadcast on Ohio's largest radio station, WTAM 1100, during drive time on weekday afternoons. Chairman Gliebe explained to the host and audience as to why the system of mass democracy in America is a failure, and that Americans should not look to the ballot box as a means to fix the nation's problems.

The Chairman took advantage of being on Cleveland's "most listened to" radio talk show to hammer across the Alliance's ideal method of governing: the Leadership Principle. "If democracy cannot work in a classroom, a corporation, on a sports team, or in the military, how can it ever work in a multiracial nation of over 300 million people?", Chairman Gliebe left the listening audience to ponder.

Michael Weaver at the Intersection

Columbus, GA activist Michael Weaver is always thinking of new ways and locations to distribute our material. In his latest venture, Michael has been handing out our literature at busy intersections around town. Michael is targeting the high-traffic intersections, and on any given day he easily distributes 200 pieces of our material.

Been Active? We'd Like to Hear About It!

By Jim Ring

At this past conference at the National Office, I spoke with several members who related to me some stories of their activism for Our Cause. Some of this activism was, I thought, worthy of mention to our other members in the membership newsletter. I learn of these things too when I talk to members on the phone.

The National Office is keen to know and certainly appreciates getting activity reports from individual members as well as Units. If you've done some work to help promote getting our message out, do send a report of your efforts and let us know. Who knows? Your story may appear in the Alliance *Bulletin*. We're always interested in sharing this kind of good news as it is not only newsworthy, but it also serves as a stimulus

for other members to get active in some way themselves.

Activism is the key to success for Our Cause. As much as the Office appreciates and needs your financial support, without the hard work of members in the field getting our message out to the public in some way, our opportunities for organizational growth are hampered. This fact alone demonstrates the tremendous power of the individual member. He or she can be the conduit for the Alliance's message in their local areas. That's something neither the National Office nor even the Chairman can do.

Some members might like to think that the success or failure of our organization is the sole responsibility of the Chairman and the National Office. Not so; most definitely not so. As a member, you must take up your share in this responsibility and uphold it. There are many obstacles to overcome. Let's be sure to examine ourselves and make sure our personal priorities are in the proper order.

Let's make sure we're doing what each of us needs to do. If we can deliver on our own responsibilities, that will go a long way in helping our Chairman and National Office staff move this organization forward. That's what we all want, isn't it?

Jim Ring Speaks on University Radio; Sparks Interest & Controversy

Sacramento Unit Coordinator Jim Ring was featured on San Francisco State University radio KSFS-FM on November 4th, as a guest on the *Ace Miramar Show.*

For a week previous to the date, the station frequently announced that a leader from the racist National Alliance would be a featured guest. Flyers were distributed announcing "White Power on SFSU campus" to build hype and thus a bigger audience for the show.

Jim was interviewed for 90 minutes on a wide variety of topics by the show host and also fielded listener questions. Jim discussed the goals of the National Alliance and worldview, Jewish power, and our take on world events.

The program was broadcast not only over the airwaves, but was also "streamed" over the Internet, as well providing access to anyone across the country.

The show host was friendly and objective, providing an intellectual and informative discourse. Frequently, the host found himself in agreement with Jim's points as well as did a mostly White studio audience that was present for the interview. The host got himself into some "hot water" for agreeing with Jim that the Jews use the Holocaust story to make money for their interests.

When Jim discussed his opinions on Marxist thought, the host revealed his own distaste for Marxist political activity on the campus and inferred that perhaps the university would be good recruiting grounds for the Alliance. In his opinion, the campus was just too full of communist agitation.

The host expressed his opinion that Jim was both an intelligent and expressive guest along with displaying a warm sense of humor. At the end of the 90-minute segment, Jim was given ample time to announce all of the Alliance's various Web addresses and to give out the regional Alliance telephone number, too.

After the conclusion of the program, the show host was subjected to intense verbal abuse by some leftist students on campus for having an Alliance representative on the show and for being "too friendly to the neo-Nazi monster."

Despite all that, the host wants to pursue a return engagement for Jim in a future edition of the program and to even explore having Jim or other National Alliance representatives to visit the university for a student assembly, possibly to debate campus communists.

In any event, Jim's interview on the *Ace Miramar Show* in itself is a small victory in getting the Alliance message to a wider audience.

Attention: Southern California Members

This is advance notice for members living in the southern part of California that a general meeting of the National Alliance is currently being planned for the early part of the new year upcoming. The date and location will be announced later, but plenty of notification will be given. We'll meet at a place that will be within reasonable driving distance for any member living in the south of the state, and manageable for any member coming from central California.

This idea has been in the air so to speak for some time, but now it is coming into

fruition with active work being done by a very dedicated Alliance member in that area of the state who is determined to do whatever possible to help our organization succeed.

The meeting will be chaired by Sacramento Unit Coordinator Jim Ring. The purpose of the meeting will be to provide a venue to bring together those members who wish to increase their involvement in the organization and help to build regional outreach via networking, and establishing working and social relationships with other members in this area of the state. If this is what you've been waiting for, then you'll certainly want to be sure to attend this function.

California is a crucial state for Alliance outreach and growth. There are some who have the opinion that California should be "written off" because Whites are now a minority there and it is also a front line state for the Mexican squatter invasion. Within the National Alliance this kind of thinking is in error. It is for the very reasons mentioned above that make California such an important area for Alliance involvement.

Even so being in the status of a minority and subjected to the La Raza invasion, there are still many millions of Whites to be reached with our message and recruited. In fact, California even under its present worsening condition has more inhabitants of our race combined than many other states. These awful conditions make recruitment and support for the National Alliance more probable among the people there.

Alliance organizing in southern California has waxed and waned over the years. This meeting will represent a new beginning, a renewal of Our Cause there. If you want to be a part of that rebirth, then Jim Ring would certainly like to meet you and for you to meet other motivated members in your region.

Again, there will be plenty of notice given once the date has been set. If you have any questions, simply contact Jim Ring directly or call the Alliance phone message service in Sacramento at 530-757-3818 and leave your message and return number. Jim will answer you in a timely fashion.

The Alliance has a good anchor in the Sacramento Unit with much more work needing to be done in recruiting in northern California. It is past time to put southern California back on the Alliance map. This is a great opportunity to start really being a part of the Alliance's activist ranks!

Do Elections Result in Significant Change?

by Erich Gliebe

So what are we White racialists to make of the results of the most recent election?

Well, as predicted, the Republicans made a strong showing, gaining 64 seats in the House of Representatives and seizing the majority from the Democrats. The Democrats, you will remember, stormed into Congress in the election of 2006 on their pledge to get the United States out of Iraq and Afghanistan. After this election, the Democrats maintained their majority in the Senate, but they lost six seats to the Republicans.

Quite a number of the newly elected Republicans were voted into office based on their official or quasi-official allegiance to the loose collection of ideas tied to the so-called "tea party." Tea party ideas revolve around the traditional conservative Republican ideas of limited government and individual freedom. Tea partiers and their allies – who can be considered as something like radicalized, righter-than-right Republicans – rally around things like lower taxes, government deregulation in the economic sphere, and private insurance for things like, say, health care. Although it seems to me that no two tea partiers are on exactly the same page, they obviously agree on the basics, and it is clear that the electorate was willing in this election to give some of these political newcomers a chance to do their thing up on Capitol Hill.

Before the election, the liberal-leaning American media made quite a fuss about the possibility of the tea partiers gaining some power. The tea partiers were portrayed, in large measure, as puppets whose strings were being pulled by large corporations: mortgage and other financial companies, insurance companies, oil companies, among others. The media suggested that, if candidates with tea party-like ideas were elected, a new era of capitalistic oppression will result: tax breaks for the wealthy, healthcare for the rich only, and figurative beatings with sticks and cudgels for ordinary, working Americans.

I saw one episode of ranting by MSNBC's Keith Olbermann just before he was temporarily suspended for contributing to several Democratic candidates (which news anchors aren't supposed to do, I guess). Olbermann quoted quite a few of the Republican candidates for Congress and for governor in various states: things they had said on the

campaign trail or before relating to one or more of the pressing issues. Of course, Olbermann chose the most outlandish statements made by these tea partiers or tea party-leaning Republicans in an attempt to instill fear and disgust in American voters, fear and disgust that there really still were politicians out there who THOUGHT such things, and then actually SAID them. And I admit, Olbermann did an excellent job in his six or eight minute rant; even I was creeped out by some of the nutty comments these conservative drum-beaters had made, but at the same time I caught myself laughing out loud.

I wasn't laughing because of Olbermann's witty sarcasm, which he delivers with just the right energy. I laughed because it was almost comical as to how far away the political establishment is from taking any concrete action that will help the long-term prospects of the White race, which is what I and many other White people care about most. Olbermann ranted at the fact that the Republican candidates values were way off base, relative to his politically liberal values. I laughed because both of those sets of values were way off base, relative to racially progressive values: values that, if a nation embraces them, could propel our people back into the driver's seat of their own destiny, in which the future could be of their own making, not of having to cater to the desires of another racial group.

So what is going to change, as a result of the November election of 2010? Well, let's think back to November of 2006, when the Democrats roared into both houses of Congress on the wave of indignation that accompanied George W. Bush's pushing of the United States into war in the Middle East and his refusal to take responsibility for extricating the American troops wasting away there. The current American Chief Executive Barack Obama, for one, made a lot of waves leading up to that election with his stirring rhetoric and his so-called determination to bring home the troops in the soonest possible time. Other Democratic candidates said the same sorts of things, and when all those Democrats were elected, everyone was expecting some ass-kicking and name-taking to occur, with George W. Bush being on the receiving end of all of that.

Tens of millions of Americans looked with anticipation toward January of 2007 – following the election at the end of 2006 – when the Democrats would take firm control of both houses of Congress and could deliver on their oft-spoken promises. Those whose loved ones trudged through the deserts of the

Middle East every day, rubbing sand out of their eyes and grinding it in their teeth, began thinking about how long it might be into 2007 before their loved ones came home to stay. Would the troops be home by June? By September? Surely it wouldn't take longer than all of 2007 to get the hell out of there and bring everybody home.

And not that we racialists are very large in number, but we wanted the troops home, too, not just because of our family members and friends who were in the Middle East, but also because we knew that the reason our troops were there was to act as a buffer between the unstable Arabic countries and the Jewish state of Israel. It sure would have been nice for the U.S. to have pulled out of Iraq and Afghanistan, and then stayed out of the way if either or both of those countries had begun to scrap with Israel.

Of course, if Israel really had gotten into a war with anyone in the Middle East in 2007, no one anywhere has any doubt that the U.S. would again gladly have traded in whatever sense of honor it had left and sallied forth to slay the enemies of the cute and cuddly pet-state of Israel.

And there is at least one thing that the recent election isn't going to change. Just a week ago, at the assembly of the Jewish Federation of North America being held in New Orleans, the Vice President of the Obama Administration assured the happy Jews present that they had nothing to fear with regard to the U.S.'s willingness to back Israel, come hell or high water. "We will not yield a single inch," VP Joe Biden told the cheering Chosen. Furthermore, any effort to, as Biden put it, "delegitimize Israel" – as apparently Iran is trying to do at the present time – will be met with – quote – "unshakeable resistance from the United States." With the fundamentalist roots of many tea party candidates and their supporters, there is NO danger that the stealers of the Promised Land – formerly owned by the Palestinians – will lose any support in the 112th Congress, which takes office in January of 2011. Quite possibly, the rogue Zionist state will have more support than it formerly had, which is difficult to imagine, but still plausible.

So in terms of what will be the effects of the actions of the 112th Congress, we can state with certainty that Israel will still enjoy its long-held position, firmly planted in the catbird seat, surveying the American landscape with all of the arrogance that is the Jewish race-soul in a nutshell. Health-care reform, so

strongly pushed by the President, will take a hit, but neither will it go completely away. There might be a few bills that clear the Republican-controlled House of Representatives related to government deregulation, but whether or not they will clear the Democrat-held Senate and be signed by a Democratic President remains to be seen. In all, despite the high hopes of the tea partiers and the lamentations of liberals like Keith Olbermann, I don't foresee much of anything new happening in the next Congress. The way the chessboard is situated right now, it looks like more gridlock to me, particularly if the tea partiers can't get along with the more established, middle-of-the-road Republicans in the Congress.

As White racialists, one thing that we DEFINITELY won't see is any progress toward what our people need the most: a homogeneous White racial state. Despite the lip service that our senators and representatives pay to the idea of separate Palestinian and Jewish states in the Middle East, no serious attempt will be made to separate the White race from its competitors in this country – or at any location around the world. No serious attempt will be made to stem the tide of non-White immigrants who wash over our southern border practically without resistance. No serious attempt will be made to restore the industrial might and heavy manufacturing base of the United States, which will remain firmly in the hands and in the control of the Chinese and other countries of Asia.

To be sure, there will be plenty of talking, by everyone: conservatives, liberals, and the media. In fact, there will be no end of talking! We will hear about who (in the other party, of course) is responsible for the plight we are in. We will hear about how the current policies are hurting individual Americans, whether it be high taxes, unemployment, lack of quality schooling, or the inaccessibility to health care. We'll hear about what needs to be done, and then we'll hear about the drawbacks of THAT plan from its opponents, and finally we'll hear plenty more about why it CAN'T be done.

And by the time the November election of 2012 rolls around, everyone NOT involved in the System and who understands how our diseased society really functions will shake his head in the insanity of it all. For the White race, nothing fundamental will have changed. For the White race, nothing of consequence will have been decided. For the White race, it will be as if all of the hopes of the tea partiers and the fears of the Keith Olbermanns hadn't existed.

Certainly, they won't have mattered in the least.

And the reason they won't have mattered in the least is because all of those things are WITHIN the existing System. The hopes of the tea partiers lie WITHIN the existing System. The fears of the Keith Olbermanns lie WITHIN the existing System. All of mainstream politics today lies WITHIN the existing System. And because of that, nothing will change.

What our race needs is a new paradigm, a new perspective on the universe and our race's place in it. The worldview of the National Alliance is the beginning of this new paradigm. When that paradigm begins to carry its weight in the wider world... THAT is when real change will become possible.

It is our job to carry the White racialist worldview into the wider world. Help us in the National Alliance complete this most important of tasks.

Setting Personal Goals

Commentary by Chairman Gliebe

With the end of the year in sight, it is time to start thinking about what we want to accomplish during 2011. Once we've done that, we want to make some goals to shoot for, as an organization and as individuals. Although I might have more to say about our organizational goals in the near future, today I'd like to encourage every member to set personal goals that they want to achieve over the next year.

Goal-making sounds pretty simple: You put up targets to hit and then you try to hit them. But here are a few relatively easy pointers that can help make your goal-setting and goal-achieving go a lot better for you.

For one thing, your goals should be specific to you. Here is an example of a not-so-specific goal: "I want the Alliance's membership to increase." The idea is good, but where do you come in? What will your role be in increasing the Alliance's membership numbers?

We can be more specific by changing the above goal to: "I will recruit more members to join the National Alliance." This goal is better than the first one because it sets down what you will need to be doing and thinking about as you go about your activities in 2011.

But a good goal not only needs a specific and personal focus, it needs to be

measurable. That is, we need something by which we can decide at the end of the year whether or not we achieved the goal. Usually, a measurable goal provides hard numbers that we can use to see very easily whether or not the goal was met. "I will recruit X-number of new members to join the National Alliance," is yet another improvement over the goal stated in the previous paragraph because it is measurable.

Furthermore, a goal needs to be challenging, but achievable. "I will recruit one hundred new members to join the National Alliance" is specific and measurable, but is an unrealistic goal for most people. When people set unrealistic goals, they quickly realize that they have no hope at all of achieving them, and so they simply quit. That, of course, is no different from not having or setting any goals at all, which we want to avoid. "I will recruit two new members to join the National Alliance" might be challenging enough — and yet achievable — for most of our current members.

Finally, the goal should have a time associated with it. "I will recruit two new members to join the National Alliance" is specific, measurable, and achievable, but over what time should the goal be completed? The next ten years? Most of us can do much better than that. "I will recruit two new members to join the National Alliance between now and the end of 2011" is better.

Your goals need to be tailored to your own specific skills. Whether they deal with recruiting, distributing NA literature, adopting a highway or stretch of local road, manning a table at a gun show, writing a letter to the editor, reaching out to young people, increasing your financial contribution to the Cause, etc., they need to be written so as to induce you to achieve them. That is, your goals need to be specific, measurable, achievable, and time-dependent. And make sure you write your goals down, so you can refer back to them from time to time to assess your progress.

If each one of us sets a few Alliance-related goals for 2011 — and we follow the guidelines of good goal-setting — then our organization should have moved noticeably forward a year from now.

If there is anything I can do to help you set or achieve your personal Alliance-related goals for 2011, let me know. I'll do my best to help.


The beauty of our race —
what will you do to preserve it?
Find out what you can do
to help protect our race and
heritage by contacting the
National Alliance today.
National Alliance
P.O. Box 90
Hillsboro, WV 24946
USA
www.natall.com